App. F. IX-4

1930 (Law)

File No. 79-3

Serial Nos. 1-10

Previous References
Muz 7-25-1-18
Law 18-29-506

Later References
Law 26-33-14-2.
" 9-35(63-66)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Ten

Part B for March 33

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the Judgment and decree of the Dist. Judge Kolar in O.S. 13/30-31 (Patel Narayanappa Vs the Dy: Comr & Ors) - Suit re. in-am lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swami Temple at Belathur, Mandya Taluk.

91 2

The Muzrai Comr.
" Comptr.
" Govt. Advocate.
" Dy: Comr. Mysore.

No. P6463- dy 11-2-33

Sanction Rs 125/- to meet the appeal Charges from the allotment made for Law Charges for the Mysore Distt. Subject to adjustment in due course after the disposal of the appeal.

Abdul Aziz
20-3-33.

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 1930.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Law)

NOTES.

File No. 79-30

FILE HEADING.

O.S 13 of 30-31 on the file of the Dist. Court B'lore.

FROM The Muzrai Commr B'lore

No. 1425 /M 25 Dated 7th Dec 1930 Received 10.12.30

Diary No. 2907	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requesting to approve the action taken in engaging the Pr to defend O.S. 13/30-31 on the file of the Dist. Judge Bangalore (Patel ranjundegowda succeeded Shivaregowda vs D.C. Mysore)

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) This is a Civil Suit pertaining to the possession of Inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swami temple at Belathur in the Mandya Taluk. This Suit was on the file of the Dist. Ct. Mysore as O.S. 4 of 25-26 and it has been transferred to Dist. Ct. B'lore and numbered as O.S. 13/30-31. The Case is posted for hearing on 15.12.30. Muzrai Commr report

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 01683—GPB—5,000—3-5-29

2917

2

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193

Serial No.

that he is requesting the Pltr to appear for Govt on 15th inst. The Pltr. may also be instructed to prepare & send for approval a W.St. after obtaining necessary instructions from the D.C. Mysore and the Mysore Counsel.

Dft is put up accordingly for approval

TSD 10/12

2) O.S. 4 of 25-26 was a suit in the Dt Ct, Mysore for possession of certain inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swami temple at Belathur, manda Taluk. On a preliminary point as to the maintainability of the suit, it was dismissed by the ~~Dt Judge~~, Mysore, but on appeal the Chief Court, as it then was, set aside the dismissal & remanded the case for disposal according to law. In the appeal, Mr Shamasundaraya Rao had appeared for Govt as Govt Advocate. The further conduct of the case, after remand, has therefore been transfered to the Bangalore District Court & the suit is numbered as O.S. 13 of 30-31. The Pltr Bangalore has to appear in the case for the defts viz D.C. Mysore & Amildar Kunigal Manda.

Necessary draft is put up for orders.

Legal Asst

Date of Hg

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

15/12/30.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

10/12

10/12

10/12

Dep 30

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

To:

The PPr B'lore

No. P. 3300, dd 10.12.30 requesting to appea
in DC Mysore and the Amildar of Nanjya in OS
3/3031 on the file of the Dist. Ct. B'lore and to intimate
progress made in each day of the C

Letter No. 269 dated 13/11/30 from the PPr, Mysore
forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 25/- being
his fee for services rendered at the case
after remand from the Chief Ct. & before
transfer to the Dist. Ct. Bangalore.

The reference made
in the P.V.D to an
appeal in the High
Ct is a mistake
Records were called for
by the High Ct previous
to transfering the
case & the PPr has
misteken this for
an appeal -
This is learnt on
enquiry from the
G.A.S office - Mr.
12/1.

2) The PPr Mysore has appeared
according to the certificate, on 14 days
in the case while it was pending
in the Dist Mysore. But on no day
only the case have been heard
since Mr. Shamaraiangana has
had appeared for first at the office
stage. It is therefore that the PPr
Claims only a fee of Rs 25/- the
Cost will have to be borne by the
Govt Dept.

Draft G.O. put up for orders

Legal Dy

Dy

17/12

Secy

16/12

Secy
18/12

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 .

Serial No.

G.O. No. P. 3457-9 Law 79-30-4 d 19-12-30 panchuning a bill for Rs 25/- of the Pvr. Mysore being the remuneration for services rendered in O.S.4 of 25-26 (Ranjundegowda vs DC Mysore case) on the file of the Dist. Judge Mysore.

Sus B

TSD 27/12

1) Please put up a draft to Pvr Blore enquiring ~~as~~ as to what happened to the case on 15/12/30 & the date to which it is posted now

Dfr 29/12

2) Sub[2] with a dft for according

TSD 29/12

Issue Dfr 29/12

To

The Pvr Bangalore

No. P. 3706 d 31. 12. 30 ' enquiring as to what happened to the case on 15. 12. 30

A[R] may now issue, if no reply is received

Dfr 2/1/31

Dft R[2] is put up.

TSD 2/1

Issue Dfr 3/

Reply received R[2] did not come

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

7038/31
3444

3296

S\

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter no. 278/30-31 d 3-1-31 from the Pr. B'lore forwarding their O.S. 13/30-31 shand adjourned to 8-1-31.

Subd for perusal

TSD 6/1

DMP 6/1

Sus B. to call for a report on

TSD 7/1 1/2/31

Letter no. 291/30-31 d 2/2-1-31 from the Pr. B'lore informing that O.S. 13 of 30-31 posted to 28-1-30

for perusal

TSD 12/1

DMP 12/1

Please call for a proper report in this case.

DMP 30/7

TSD 15/1

DMP 15/1

for B 43

Dft put up for appr

TSD 31/7

DSGrie

DMP 31/7

No. P. 697 d 3.8.31.

Sus A.

TSR 4/8

DMP 4/8

Letter no. 56/31-32 dated 6th Aug'31 informing that the Case is posted to 25-8-31 for arguments

Subd for perusal

TSD 10/8 (Genl Secy)

DMP 10/8

Rec 14/8 Sup B'lll 17

DMP 17/8

GENERAL SECRETARY 10 AUG. 1931 No. 1273

TYPING SECTION 13 SEP. 1931 GENL. & REV. SECT.

Subd

N further Report recd.

Hence adjourn sine die

G 3/9 1449 of 4/9/31 Issue DMP 3/9

220 O.S. 13/30-31 — Dist. et B'lore 6

(Ranjundegauda vs D.C. Mysore & another)

App. F. [II—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193

Serial No.

Adjourned to 16.11.1931

" 27.1.32

" 5.2.32

" 16.3.32

18.5.32

1.6.32

7.6.32

7.7-32

29.7.32

30.8.32

10.9.32 finally.

Dear,

The Pr. B'lore

No. 319/32-33 dated 20.10.32 stating that order was passed in the above case on 17.09 decreeing the suit with costs.

Ref^e para 2 supra.

b) Copy of the judgment and decree will be sent submitted for perusal

ISD 21/10

2) The suit related to certain inam lands in Belattur village, Maddya Taluk, belonging to Sri Someswaradevaru temple — Plaintiff Patel Nanjegowda relied on a sanad of 1814 which Court denounced to be a forgery — He claimed also rights of kadim tenancy — The suit is reported to have been decreed with costs. Copy of the Decree is awaited for further action being

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

O S 13 /30-31 – Dist. Judge B'lore

(Patel ramjibhai gajanda vs D

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

taken regarding the filing of an appeal if necessary.

Submitted for perusal

Gen Sec'y)

24/10

8) Await copy of Decree

31/10

Copy

No: 1619/32 dated 22.11.32 stating that the D.C. Mysore reports that the above case was decreed with Costs and that a fresh reference should be made in the matter of appealing to the H.C. on obtaining copies of judgment & decree

9) Subd. for perusal with reference to para 7 & 8 supra.

DLL calling for Copy of judgment may be granted. > Subd

TSD

22/11

The Copy of the Judgment was received in time but to the PP did not come

TSD
+ 30/11.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
21 OCT 1932
No.

TYPING SECTION
29 NOV 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

OS 13 /30-31 on the file of the Dist. Judge. B'lore
(Javare gowda vs the DC Mysore)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 . [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From,

The PPr. B'lore

no. 419/32-33 dated 28th nov 1932 forwarding copy of the judgment and decree in the abo Case and stating that in the Circu ca pointed out, he is of opinion that this is a fit Case for appeal

9) This is a Suit for possession of certain dry lands endowed to Sri Sunderara Swami Temple at Bellathur Mandya Taluk originally this was filed in the Dist. Ct. Mysore as OS 25/25-26 on a preliminary point as to the maintainability of the Suit, it was dismissed by the Dist. Judge. On an appeal the H. Ct. set aside the dismiss and remanded the Case for disposal according to Law. Since Mr. Shankaranarayana Rao appeared for Govt in the appeal the further Conduct of the Case after remand was transfered to the Dist. Ct. B'lore. now it is deposed that the plaintiff's Suit is decreed with Costs.

The PPr. however for the reasons given in the P.M.D. states that this is a fit for appeal. The Govt Adv. may be Consulted as to the advisability of preferring an appeal against the decree. The copy of the judgment is sent herewith

183 4/12

10) The Govt. may be Consulted

(Secretary)

11) 2nd LT 2/12

4/12

GENERAL SECRETARY
2- DEC. 1932
No. NOTE—Contin. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

9

Sec'l

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

G.O. No. P 2110 of 31 & 3rd Dec., 1932.

12) Forwarded with Compliments to the Govt Advocate for favour of advice

B.O.

for General Secretary

Returned with compliments.

G.O. No. 30/32-33 & R. 12.32

13) There are several important questions of law and fact in the case and some of them are indicated by the Public Prosecutor in his letter dated the 26th/28th November 1932. I think an appeal may be filed in the High Court against the Decree of the District Judge.

Government Advocate.
7th December 1932.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 8 DEC. 1932 No. Law

14) The appeal may be ordered to be filed

Govt Sec)

I Member)

9/12

15) Sec 10/12/32

10/12

10/12

16) Dft G.O. Sanctioning the appeal & put up

17) The record before me

13/12

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 10 DEC. 1932 No. 4764

TYPING SECTION GENL & REV. SECTT 14 DEC. 1932

O.S. 13/30-31 – Dist. Ct. Blore

60

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 79 of 1930. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

To,

The Govt. Ad.

Muz. Comr

Comptroller PSir, Mys. and D.C

G.O. no. P. 3299-303 Law 79, 30-6 dated 15-12-32 Sanctioning an appeal against the Judgment and decree of the Dist. Judge. Blore in OS. 13/30-31.

From

The Muz. Comr

no. C. 1419/32-33 dated 30.1.33 forwarding a Cop of the Mysore D.C.'s letter dated 13.1.33 and Solic Sanction of Govt in the Circumstances Stated therein, to meet the appeal charges of Rs 125/- from the allotment made for Law Charges for the Distr subject to adjustment in due Course, as there very little balance at the credit of the temple fund

17) In G.O. dated 15th Dec 1932 Sanctioning an appeal in the above Case, the Muz. Comr was requested to place at the disposal of the Govt. Ad. necessary funds requ for the purpose, the Cost being met from the temple funds. Now it is reported that the Govt. Ad. demands Sum of Rs 125/- towards the Costs of the appeal D.C. Mysore states that the temple fund has almost exhau ed leaving a balance of Rs 43 and odd, and proposed to meet the said Charges from the allotment A made for Law charges for the Dist, subject to adjust ment after the appeal is decided. The proposal may perhaps be Sanctioned. Draft G.O. Subd for Consid[n] yours

18)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

6/2/33

ISD 24/2 Chief Secretary

6/2/33

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32/

Law File No. 79 of 1933

General and Revenue Secretariat

19) Dft enquiring put up.

ISD
7/2

Issued vide f.u.no.03 d 10.2.33.

From

The Mysg. Comr.

no. 1419/RE 25 dated 7.2.33 forwarding a copy of the letter received from the Govt. Ad and soliciting orders immediately as the suit has to be filed before 15th inst.

20) Reference para 17 supra

The proposal of advancing a sum of Rs 150/- from the Law charges of the Mys Dist pending adjustment in due course may perhaps be sanctioned pending further report from the Mysg. Comr. Dft f.o. already put up vide para 5 supra for orders.

Last date of appeal 15.2.33

ISD
10/2

21) Sanction may be given to meet this out of law charges, as the appeal has to be filed before the 15th inst.

VERAL SECRETARIAT
10 FEB. 1933
No.

Sanctioned above

Ce
10/2

RZ
10/433

Asst Ce
10/2

20 Dec

To,

The Mysg. Comr, Comptroller, Govt. Ad. & DC Mys.

f.o. no. A.4463 Law 1930-9 d 11.2.33 according sanction to the DC's proposal.

Sec A
11/2/33

O.S. 13/30-31 — Dist. Ct. B'lore.

167

12

General and Revenue Secretariat	Law File No. 79 of 193	[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From,

The Muz. Comm^r

no. C. 1419/M-25 dated 28.2.33 stating that the D.C. Mysore reports that out of a sum of Rs 500/- allotted to the Dist as law charges, only a sum of Rs 207-3-0 has till now been spent.

Submitted for perusal with reference to para 18 Supra.

4/3

150 2/3

B

6/3/33

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 –GPB—15,000—22-8-32

2-9072930 Regn. No 849

& Muzrai

Immediate.

No. C 1425/24-25
Encls: 2

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE/COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,
Camp Hassan,
Dated, ~~Bangalore~~, 7th December 1930.

FROM S.P. Rajagopalachari Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai ~~Commissioner~~ Commissioner in Mysore

To P. Mahadevaiya Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

889/12

4520/9/12

H. Secy) 9.12.30

SIR,

Law Secy) 9.12.30

ptlag d -

With reference to correspondence ending with G.O. No. 3068-71/Law. 18-27 dated 6/7th December 1928 in the matter of the Civil Suit pertaining to the possession of inam lands endowed to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Belathur in the Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. H. 661/28 dated 29th November 1930, together with a copy of its enclosure, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to request that, in the circumstances explained, the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, may be instructed to defend O.S. No. 13 of 30-31 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Bangalore.

2. As the case is posted to 15th inst., I am requesting the Public Prosecutor to appear on that date and do the needful. The action taken may be kindly approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R.

Letter No. H. 661/28 dated 29th November 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject.-- Suit on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore. (Patel Nanjundegowda, since dead, his son Javaregowda and others - Vs - Deputy Commissioner and Amildar, Mandya -).

With reference to your memo No. C.1419/24-5 dated 13/18-4-1928 intimating that the appeal filed by Patel Nanjunde gowda before the then Chief Court was remanded to the Lower Court for fresh disposal, I have the honor to state that the suit had been taken back on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, and numbered as O.S. 4 of 1925-6. The Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, had been conducting the defence so far.

2. In the meanwhile, I have received notices from the District Judge's Court, Bangalore, stating that the suit has been transferred to that Court and numbered as O.S. 13 of 30-31 and that the date of hearing has been fixed to 15-12-1930. I have taken service of the duplicate notice and sent the original to the District Judge's Court, Bangalore.

3. I request that arrangements may kindly be made for defending the suit through the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division. The connected file of papers on the subject will be sent to you after they are received from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division.

4. The duplicate notice received from the Court is enclosed herewith.

"Copy"

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R.

3

Court Notice.

In the Court of the District Judge, Bangalore Division.

Original Suit No. 13 of 1930-31.

Plaintiff.

Patel Nanjunde Gowda,
since dead, by
Javaregowda and others.

Defendants.

1. The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore.

2. Amildar of Mandya Taluk,
Mandya.

To

1st defendant.

Please take notice that O.S. 4 of 25-26 on the file of District Judge, Mysore, has been transferred to this Court and numbered as O.S. 13 of 1930-31 and posted for hearing on the 15th day of December 1930; you are hereby required to appear in this Court in person or by a duly instructed pleader on the aforesaid date.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 19th day of November 1930.

By Order,

Sd/- A.P. Sabapathy Mudaliar,

Sheristedar.

" C o p y "

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R

4

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Laws

File No. 74-30

Serial No. 2

No. P 3302

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

To

The Public Prosecutor, B'lore

Date of issue 10-12-30

Sir,

Signed by Rai. W. R. Iyengar Rao

I am directed ~~have the~~ ~~honour~~ to request you to appear for the D.C. Mysore and the Amildar of Nandya Taluk ~~of~~ who are defts in O.S. No. 13/30-31 on the file of the Dist. Ct. Bangalore (Patel Ranjandegavda Succd. Javaregowda vs D.C. Mysore), and ~~to prepare and send~~ on 15th inst ~~to whom the~~ ~~Case is posted for hearing~~ and to conduct the case ~~request you to prepare~~ in its further stages

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

5—

~~And send for approval a dft.~~

~~D.O.~~ after obtaining necessary instructions if any from the Mysore Comm^r and the DC Mysore.

The Progress ~~of the~~ Case ~~in~~ made in the Case on each day of hearing has to be intimated to this office ~~in any case~~ without delay.

I have etc

TSO
c/r

Law Sec^y

Copy to the Mysore Comm^r with Compts for information with reference to his letter no C.1457/24-25 dt 7th Dec 1930.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 3300/Law

Dated, Bangalore, 10th December 1930.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to request you to appear for the Deputy Commissioner Mysore and the Amildar of Mandya Taluk who are defendents in O.S.No. 13/30-31 on the file of the District Court, Bangalore (Patel Nanjundegowda since dead Javaregowda Vs.D.C.Mysore) on 15th instant and to conduct the case in its further stages after obtaining necessary instructions if any from the Muzrai Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore.

The progress made in the case on each day of hearing has to be intimated to this office without delay.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- P.Mahadevayya.

For Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Govt.Law Deptt.

c.

Memo No.P. 3304

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for information with reference to his letter No.C. 1425/24-25 dated 7th December 1930.

By Order,

For Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Govt.Law Deptt.

TSD

2430/32

Law 79-30-3

WD 546-GPB-50,000-4-9-8

1930

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Mysore Division
Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of H.H. the Maharaja o
Mysore, Law Departme

0289/14/11

Law 14/11

Dated 13.11.30 Bangalore

Despatched 13.11.30

Received

General No.

No. 269

Enclosure No. One Bill

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith my bill for legal remuneration for appearing on behalf of the Government in O.S. 4 of 25-26 (Nanjunde Gowda vs Deputy Commr, in the file of the District Judge, Mysore, as per G.O. No. P. 6034/Law dated 9-4-28.

The suit is for recovery of possession of land resumed by the Government in the Muzrai Department. The suit was dismissed by

P.T.O

by the District Court on a preliminary point. The plaintiff appealed and the Chief Court remanded the case in R.A. 250 of 25-26 for recording evidence on the issue relating to the genuineness of a Will. The records are submitted to the High Court for arguments. The Government Advocate has been requested in my letter No. 201 dated 12.10.30 to go through the records and argue the appeal on behalf of the Government

My bill for legal remuneration is countersigned for appearances only. I have claimed Rs. 25/- as a fair remuneration for the work turned out by me in the District Court and the Govt. may be pleased to sanction such amount of fee as they deem adequate

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

[redacted]

Public Prosecutor
Mysore Division

7

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 13-12-1930

Signed by Sir N.S. Iyengar

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. Home

File No. 79-30

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter No: 269 dated 12/11/30. from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 25/- for services rendered from 22-7-29 to 18-9-30 in O.S. 4 of 25-26 (Nanjunde gowda ~~Order Thereon No~~ vs D.C. Mysore & another) on the file of the District Judge, Mysore, a suit relating to the possession of certain inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swami temple at Belattur, Mandya Taluk -

Order. No. P 3457-9

Sanctioned. The Asst. Com^r in Mysore is requested

60

To point out to the Comptroller Direct the Source from which the charge is to be met.

Copyright Law Dept

Dear Sir

In reply to your letter of the 1st inst. I beg to inform you that the Mss. copyright in respect of the above work will become the property of the Crown on the 1st January 1931 and that the Comptroller is prepared to supply a copy to this Department.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560—GPB—11 250—2-7-30

Extract

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

Letter No. 269 dated 13th November 1930 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs. 25/- for services rendered from 22nd July 1929 to 18th September 1930 in O.S.4 of 25-26 (Manjunde Gowda Vs. Deputy Commissioner Mysore and another) on the file of the District Judge, Mysore, a suit relating to the possession of certain inam lands endowed to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Belathur, Nandya Taluk.

****

O R D E R No.P. 3457-9 / Law 29-30-4

Dated Bangalore the 18th December 1930.

Sanctioned. The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore is requested to point out to the Comptroller direct, the source from which the charge is to be met.

By Samiengar

For Secretary to Government
Law Department.

TSD

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Public Prosecutor, Mysore with the bill.

The Comptroller to Government.

c.n.

12

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193* .

Date of issue 31/12/30

Signed by M Wyllie

List of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible] 30/12

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 3706

To

The PPr. Bangalore

Sir,

I am directe to invite reference to this office lens no. P. 3300 dated 10th Dec 1930 re: O.S. 13/30-3 on the file of the Dist. Judge Bangalore (Patel ranjandegarda Since dead Javare gowda vs DC Mysore) and to ~~requ~~ enquire as to what happens to the Case on 15th Dec 1930.

The date to which the Case is posed may also be kindly intimated ~~tover~~

I have etc

for S. P.

TSD 19/12

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

27/30-31.

3296/30
P 13

MEMORANDUM

From

The public prosecutor,
Bangalore

To

3/1 1931.

The Legal Remembrancer.
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

os 13/30-31 on the file of the District judge, Bangalore
(Patel Ranjundegowda since dead Gavare Gowda vs.
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore)

with reference to your letter no. P·3706/Law dated 31-12-30
have the honour to inform you that the above case which was
posted to 15/1/30 has been adjourned to 28-1-1931 on account of
Sessions at Tumkur.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
B. N. V. Rao
Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

Please reply overleaf

WD 2307—GPB—150 Reams—24-4-28

No 291/30-31

3444 p 3c Bangalore-14
12-1-1931

From

The public prosecutor,
Bangalore

To

The Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

NSJ 13/1

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the above case which was posted to 15/12/30 has been adjourned to 28/1/1931 as the Sessions judge was engaged in Sessions at Tumkur on 15/12/30

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
B. N. Rao
Public prosecutor
Bangalore

Cr No 13/30-31 on the file of the District judge, Bangalore. (Patel Ranjindegowda since dead Jawara gowda Vs. Deputy Commissioner Mysore)

With reference to your letter no. P 3706/Law dated 31/12/3

15

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 3/8/31

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 4/11/8

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1697

To The PPr. Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter no 275/30-31 dated 3rd Jan.y 1931 reporting that O.S. 13/30-31 on the file of the Dist. Judge, Bangalore (Patel ranjundegowda Since dead Javaregowda vs D.C. Mysore) has been posted to 20.1.31, I am directed to request that a report as to the Progress made in the Case, so far may kindly be sent at an early date.

I have the

31/7 31/7 for Ch. Sec.y

No. 56/31-32

Bangalore
5-8-31

From

The public prosecutor,
Bangalore

To.

(Law)

The Secy to the Government of
Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

OS 13/30-31 on the file of the District
Judge, Bangalore. Javara gowda vs.
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

With reference to your letter no. 697/Law of the 3rd instant I have the honour to inform you that the above case is posted to 25-8-1931 for argument.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

B. N. V. Dewa
Public prosecutor,
Bangalore

1298 P 831
17

GPB

192

From

B. N. VIJAYA, DEVA, M. A.,
BARRISTER-AT-LAW,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR & GOVT. PLEADER,
BANGALORE DVN.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Dated 9.9.31

Despatched 9.9.31

Received

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 10 SEP. 1931

General No. 1107/31 G 33

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Re: OS 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Jawara Gowda vs Deputy Commissioner, Mysore)

With reference to your letter no. P. 1449 Law of the 4th instant I have the honour to inform you that the above case has been adjourned to 16.11.1931 for arguments.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

B. N. Vijaya Deva
Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

Submitted for perusal
Sus 10/11/31
ISD 21/9
21/9

No. 335/31-32

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division.

Dated, Bangalore 18th November 1931.

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 1[illegible]/1931. No. Legis

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Re: O.S.13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. Patel Nanjunde Gowda VS. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore & another

---

I have the honor to state that the above case which was posted to 16-11-31 for arguments has been adjourned to 27th January 1932 on account of Sessions.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Perusal

Public Prosecutor.

3485 p 83/19

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, -
Bangalore City, 31st Jany: 1932.

No 1..5.21-5.22
Encl.....

From

G.S.Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Re: O.S.No.13 of 1930-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Nanjundegowda VS Deputy Commissioner, Mysore & Amildar, Maddur).

I have the honour to state that the above case which was posted to 27th instant for arguments stands adjourned to the 5th February 1932 for filing Vakalat and for arguments.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

3582-83/20

No. 547/31-32

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division.

Bangalore 6th February 1932.

CHIEF SECRETA... 8 FEB. 19...

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Re: O. S. No.13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Nanjunde Gowda VS. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and another).

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 10th March 1932 for arguments as the Court was engaged in other cases.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Doraiswamy
Public Prosecutor.

Take for perusal.

1443/31

No. 694/31-32

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division.

Bangalore 12th March 1932.

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Govt.,
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department. BANGALORE.

Sir,

Re: O. S. No.13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Nanjunde Gowda VS. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. and another).

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 18th May 1932 for arguments as the court was engaged in Sessions.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

F 555493/P

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 850/31-32

Enc.

Dated 18th May 1932
26th

FROM

GENERAL SECRETARY
27 MAY 1932
No Law

The Public Prosecutor,
.....Bangalore Division,
.....Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— Cr 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Ramunde Gowda & Deputy Commissioner, Mysore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 1st June 1932 as the Court was engaged in Sessions at Tumkur

For perusal

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bungalow DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 911/31-32

Enc.

Dated 7th June 1932

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bungalow Division,
Bungalow

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 9 JUNE 1932

To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bungalow

SIR,

Subject:— C 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bungalow (Ranjindegowda vs Deputy Commissioner, Mysore and 1)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 7th July 1932 for arguments as the Court was engaged in hearing other cases

Sub'd for perusal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

F 5688/34

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
...Bangalore... DIVISION
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 891/31-32

Enc.

Dated 12 June *1932*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
.....Bangalore *Division,*
.....Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY
2 - JUNE 1932
No.

To

The General Secretary.
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— OS 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. Palle Ramappa Gowda vs. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to ... 7th June 1932 ..... as the Court was engaged in other work .....

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

F 190-32

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

No. Bangalore DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Enc.

Dated 7th July 1932

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore *Division,*

Bangalore

SECRETARY.
9 JULY 1932
No. Law
To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— CD 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. C Javarigowda vs Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, & another

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 29th July 1932 as the Undersigned was engaged in Sessions before the Assistant Sessions Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

F Up 704 p 32

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 103/32-33

Enc.

Dated 1st August 1932

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*
Bangalore

To
The General Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore. Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— C 13/32-33 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Javari Gowda
The Deputy Commissioner Mysore
and other

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 30th August 1932 for arguments as the Court was engaged in Sessions at Kolar

For perusal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir;
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

F 27 1365/32 P

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
....Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 209/32-33

Enc.

Dated ....24 September 1932.
2nd

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
.....Bangalore *Division,*
.....Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
5 SEP

No.....

To

The general Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— CC 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge Bangalore. Javarigowda is the Deputy Commissioner Mysore

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 10th September 1932 for orders the case the was argued on 31.8.1932

In order

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Doraswamy

Public Prosecutor.

WD 01551—GPB—900—5-5-32

K 2262/1932

No. 319/32-33

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
BANGALORE DIVISION.

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 20th October *1932*

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esqr., B.A., B.L.
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY
20 OCT. 1932

To No.

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Re O 13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Ranjindegowda vs the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore and another).

I have the honour to state that orders were passed in the above case on 17.10.1932, decreeing the suit costs. A copy of judgment and decree have been applied for today and the same will be sent after they are received

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

G Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor
Bangalore.

No. 1419 Rve. One
24-25

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,...23rd..November..... 1932.

29
Rd.
2974/1/32
P

FROM

S. THIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
24 NOV. 193
No. Law

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General.....*Department.*

SIR.

Referring to correspondence ending with Government Order No.3457-59/Law.29-30-4 dated 18/19th December 1930 re O.S.No.13 of 1930-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore Division, in respect of certain inam lands endowed to the Sri Someswaraswami Temple at Belathur, ~~Kunigal~~ Maddur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.M.661/28 dated 31st October 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the Plaintiff's suit was decreed with costs and that a further reference would be made in the matter of appeal-

ing
P.T.O.

Letter No.M.661/28 dated 31st October 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

O.S.No.13 of 30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore Division (Patel Nanjundegowda's son Javaregowda Vs. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

With reference to your order No.C.1419/24-25 dated 24th August 1931 on the above Civil Suit regarding the lands belonging to the Sri Someswaraswamy temple at Belathur, Maddur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, intimates that judgment was pronounced on 17th October 1932 and that the Plaintiff's suit was decreed with costs. I have requested him to send me copies of the judgment and the decree. After they are received, they will be sent to you for favour of consulting the Government Advocate as to whether there are grounds for an appeal before the High Court.

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

3054
P

No. 4191/32-33

Enc. Judgment & d
depositions and records

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,
Bangalore, 26th November 1932.
28 "

Law 79-30-5

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division. Bangalore.



To

The General Secretary to the Govt.
Of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Original Suit No. 13 of 1930-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Javare Gowda VS. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District).

I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government, a copy of the Judgment and Decree passed in the above case, and to state that the learned District Judge has decided the case in favour of the Plaintiff.

The learned District Judge has held that the suit is maintainable on the ground that the order of the Muzrai Commissioner must be deemed to have been passed under Sections 9 and 10 of the Muzrai Regulation and that Section 14 did not apply. He has not taken into consideration the fact that the order in this case is passed by the Muzrai Commissioner. The order contemplated under Sections 9 and 10 is the order of the Muzrai Officer who has been defined in Section 2(2) to mean the Deputy Commissioner. It is only orders passed under Sections 9 and 10 by Muzrai Officers that can be questioned by a suit as provided for under Section 12 of the Regulation. Section 38 of the Regulation provides that Civil Courts can exercise jurisdiction only to the extent allowed by the Regulation i.e., under Section 12. So, the learned District Judge ought to have held that the order in question being an order passed by the Muzrai Commissioner

and not by the Muzrai Officer, had he had no jurisdiction to entertain the suit. The point though argued has no been dealt with in the Judgment.

The learned Judge has also wrongly allowed a sum of Rs.72-12-0 to the Plaintiff which sum he is clearly not entitled to.

Under the circumstances I am of opinion that this case is a fit one for appeal

The Connected records received from the Government Advocate with his letter No.400, dated the 9th December 1950, are also returned herewith. Certified Copies of depositions of witnesses are also sent herewith

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Ramaswamy 8/

Public Prosecutor.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No. 419/32-33, dated 25th November 1932, from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, forwarding a copy of the judgment and decree in O.S. 13/30-31, on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Javare Gowda vs. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore) and stating that in the circumstances pointed out there is a fit case for appeal.

2. Unofficial No. 80/32-33, dated 8th December 1932, from the Government Advocate, recommending that an appeal may be filed in the High Court of Mysore against the decree of the District Judge in the said case.

Order No. P 3299-303/Law 79-30-6

Bangalore dated the 14th December 1932.

Government agree with the Government Advocate and are pleased to direct him to take necessary steps in time to prefer an appeal against the decree of the District Judge, Bangalore in O.S. 13/30-31.

2. The Financial Commissioner is requested to place at the disposal of the Government Advocate necessary funds required for the purpose, the cost being met from the temple funds.

For General Secretary to Government

To

The Government Advocate with certified copy of the Judgment&decree and other records.
The Financial Commissioner in Mysore.
The Controller to Government.
The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

TM.7

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Law
File No. 79-30
Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 15/12/ 1932

Signed by J. C. S. Iyer

Read— Letter no. 419/32-33 dated 28th nov 1932 from the Public Prosecutor Bangalore forwarding a copy of the Judgment and decree in OS 13/30-31 on the file of the Dist. Judge, Bangalore (Javaregowda vs D.C. Mysore) ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ and stating that Circumstances pointed out this is a fit Case for appeal

2. U.O. no. 80/32-33 dated 8th Dec 1932 from the Govt. Advocate recommending that an appeal may be filed in the H. Ct. of Mysore against the decree of the Dist. Jud

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

14/12

36 the said Case.

Order: P. 3299–303

Govt agree with the Govt. Ad and are pleased to direct him to take necessary steps in time to prefer an appeal against the decree of the Dist. Judge, B'lore in OS 13/30-31.

The Mng. Commr is requested to place at the disposal of the Govt. Ad necessary funds required for the purpose the Cost being met from the temple ~~matt~~ funds.

BD
MJ

Jr General Secretary

List of Enclosure.

10

The Govt. Ad. with certified Copy of the Judgement & decree forwarded.

Mng. Commr

Comptroller to Govt

PP B'lore

D. C. Mysore

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

Br

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,... ...28th January..... 193

37

80

Law 79-30-7

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

R.Ranga Rao, Esqr.,B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
... .General...*Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
30 JAN. 1933
No.

SIR,

Adverting to G.O.No.P.3299-303/Law 79-30-6 dated 15th -- December 1932 directing the Government Advocate to prefer an appeal to the High Court of Mysore against the decree of the -- District Judge,Bangalore in Os. 13/30-31 and requesting me to place at his disposal the funds required for the purpose, I -- have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.661/28 dated 13-1-1933 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, with its two enclosures, stating that the Vakalat form sent by the Government Advocate has been returned to him duly signed -- and that a sum of Rs.125/- is to be sent to the Government --- Advocate urgently. The Deputy Commissioner states that ther.

there will be a balance of only Rs.43-11-8 at the credit of the institution after meeting the other urgent demand and requests that sanction of Government may kindly be -- obtained to meet the charges of the appeal from the allotment made for Law charges for the District, subject to adjustment after the appeal is decided.

2. I request that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal. The -- advance will be adjusted as early as possible.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

V.S.M.

2nd 30 MV 703/31
No. C.1419/24-25

39

Letter No.H.661/28 dated 13-1-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Huzur i Commissioner in Mysore.

--

O.S.No.13/30-31 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Javaregowda vs. the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District).

With reference to your memo No.1419/24-25 dated 19th December 1932 in the matter of financing the Government Advocate for filing an appeal before the High Court against the Judgment and the decree passed in the above suit, I have the honor to state that the Government Advocate has sent me a vakalat form for signature and has requested that a sum of Rs.125/- might be sent to him immediately.

2. From the report dated 10-1-33 received from the Amildar, Maddur taluk, it is seen that the cash balance available at the credit of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Belathur is Rs.128-11-8. As the purchaser of the sale of the lease of the suit lands who paid the bid amount of Rs.185/- during the year 1924 has been urging for the -- refund of the sale amount since the lands were not put in his possession, a refund bill for Rs.185/- has been submitted for sanction with my letter No.H.901/30 dated 23-12-1932, the charge being met partly from the cash balance at the credit of the Institution and partly from the invested

Mysore 26
32-33.

H. 661-28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
4141
No.
1 JAN. 1933
MYSORE DISTRICT.

H. 661/28-
12/11/32.

P T O

Letter No 486/ dated 5-1-33 from the Govt. Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commr Mysore district.

Adverting to Govt order No P. 3299-303/ Law, 79-30-6 dated the 14th/15th December 1932 — authorising me to file an appeal to the High Court against the Decree of the Dist. Judge, Bangalore in original Suit No 13 of 1930-31 (Patel Ranjimale-gowda, deceased, by his Legal Representatives Gowramegowda and others, v/s. The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dist. and others) and with reference to Hon Commrs. letter No 1419/24-25 dated the 19th December 1932 on the same subject, I have the honour to request you to kindly send me immediately, a sum of Rs 125/- only (Rupees one hundred and twenty five only) required for meeting the expenses of filing the appeal and of Printing Charges etc., A Statement showing the expenses incurred in this connection will be furnished to you as soon as the appeal is disposed of

2. I am also enclosing herewith a Vakalat Form required for the purpose and request that the same may be kindly returned to me duly signed by you and the Amildar of the Mandya Taluk on behalf of the Sri Someswara Temple) in the two places marked X therein in pencil, with Signature duly certified

by a Magistrate or any other Competent functionary

3, The matter may be kindly treated as very urgent as the appeal has to be filed without delay.

M. 661/28
Enc. 1.

Urgent

From,

Copy of the above Letter with the Vakalat form is forwarded to the Amildar Madduru Taluk, with a request to send an R.T. for Rs. 125/- in favour of the Govt Advocate on the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, the amount being debited to the funds of the Taluk. The Vakalat should be signed by the Amildar as per above instructions in the place marked in red ink (X) and the same returned to this office with the R.T.R. by return of Post. The matter is urgent

7.1.33

B Venkatappa
For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Ur

7.1.33
P
M & Z
There shd be no delay. Send up at once
8.1.33
SR
10.1.33 P

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

45

Dated, Bangalore, 9th February 1933.

No. P 4403

From Law 79-30-8

10

To The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.1419/24-25 dated 30th January 1933 regarding the appeal against the decree of the District Judge Bangalore in O.S.13/30-31 (Patel Nanjundegowda Vs. Deputy Commissioner and others), I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate for information of Government how much is available in the Law charges for the Mysore District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. R. Ranga Rao,
General Secretary to Government.

P.T.O.

3TSS.

47

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 26

File No. 79-30

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P.4403/

To The Mysore Comr

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

With reference to your letter no. e. 1419/xxxv dated 30th Jan'y 1933 re: the appeal against the decree of the Dist. Judge Bl. in os. 13/30-31 (Patel ranjundegowda vs D.C. Mysore & others), I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate for information of Govt. how much is available in the Law Charges for the Mysore District

Date of issue 10/2/33

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures .....

I have etc

for Sec'y

Copied by MG/2

ISD

Memo No 74404 dy 10/2/33

Copy to the D.C. Mysore

Examined by .....

No. 1419 424-25 424/782

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,... 6th February *193* 3

7 48

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY 8 FEB 1933 No. Pw

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
... General ..... *Department.*

692

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter dated 30th January 1933 re the filing of an appeal against the decree of the -- District Judge, Bangalore, in O.S.No.13 of 1930-31, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.609 dated 4th ---- February 1933 from the Government Advocate and to solicit the favour of immediate orders, as the suit has to be filed before the 15th Instant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Hiriannaiya 6/2
Muzrai Commissioner.

-2-

Letter No.609/4 dated 4-2-33 from the Government Advocate in Mysore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re: filing of an appeal against the Decree of the District Judge, Bangalore, in Original Suit No.13 of 1930-31 (Javare Gowda and others Vs. the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt., and others).

Referring to your letter No.1419/24-25 dated the 3rd February 1933, I have the honor to state that no action can be taken by this office in the above matter without the necessary funds required for purchasing Court Fee Stamps etc., I therefore request that the amount required in this office letter No.565 dated the 26th January 1933 may be arranged to be sent to me immediately to enable me to file the appeal in time. The time for filing the appeal expires by the 15th instant, and it cannot be filed afterwards as it will be barred by limitation.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SD

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No.P.3299-303/Law 79-30-6 dated 15th December 1932 sanctioning an appeal against the judgment and decree of the District Judge, Bangalore in O.S.13/30-31 (Patel Nanjundegowda Vs. the Deputy Commissioner and other)- suit relating to the Inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara Sami temple at Belathur, Mandya Taluk.

2. Letter No.C.1419/24-25 dated 30th January 1933 from the Myg. Comr's forwarding a copy of the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 13th January 1933 and soliciting sanction of Government in the circumstances stated - therein, to meet the appeal charges of Rs.125 from the allotment made for law charges for the Mysore District subject to adjustment in due court after the disposal of the appeal, as there is very little balance at the credit of the temple funds.

Order No. P 4463-66/Law 79-30-9

Dated Bangalore 9th February 1933.
"

S A N C T I O N E D,

For General Secretary to Government.

TSD

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Government Advocate.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

6TSS.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Law

File No. 79-30.

Serial No. 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 11/2/ 1933.

Signed by M C L Iyc

Read—

G.O. no. P. 3299-303 Law 79-30-1 dated 15th Dec 1932 Sanctioning an appeal against the Judgement and decree of the Dist. Judge. Bd in OS. 18/30-31 (Patel ranjundegowda v/s the D.C. Mysore & others) - Suit relating to the Inam lands ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ endowed to Sri Someswara Swamy temple at Belathur, Mandya Taluk

2. Letter no. C. 1419/1933 from the Myg. Comr. dated 30.1.33 forwarding a copy of the Mysore D.C.'s letter dated 13th Jany 1933 and soliciting Sanction of Govt in the Circumstances stated therein, to meet the appeal

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Adjustment made for Loss Charges for the Division subject to adjustment in due course after disposal of the appeal, as there is very little balance at the credit of the temple funds.

Order :- P. 4463-66

Sanctioned.

RR 1/2433-

ISD 4/1

Jt. Ch. Secy

To,

1) The Muz Commr

2) " Comptroller

3) " Govt. Ad.

4) " DC Mysore

5) " the Muz. Bd

List of Enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

4090 4674/32

No. C 1419/24-25

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 24th February 1933

28 53

GENERAL SECRETARY 28 FEB. No. Law

Law 79-30-10

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,

28/2 *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*

Bangalore.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

General *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*

the Maharaja of Mysore,

....~~Department~~.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.4403/Law 79-30-8 dated 10th February 1933 requesting me to intimate how much -- is available in the Law charges for the Mysore District, I --- have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District in his letter No.H.661/28 dated 13th February 1933 -- states that of the sum of Rs.2000/- provided in the Budget -- towards the Law charges, a sum of Rs.500/- has been allotted to the Mysore District and that only Rs.207-3-0 has till now been spent out of the District allotment.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Hiriannaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.